

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-18, 25-50, and 55-61 are cancelled, and claims 19-24, 51-54, and 62 are currently pending and were indicated as allowed in the final office action mailed December 27, 2010. Therefore, all currently pending claims are in condition for allowance, and, therefore, the Applicants' attorney requests that the Examiner withdraw all outstanding rejections. **However, if after considering this response the Examiner does not allow all of the claims, the Applicants' attorney requests that the Examiner contact him to schedule a telephone interview to further the prosecution of this application.**

Information Disclosure Statement

The Applicant's attorney encloses a corrected Information Disclosure Statement form of the same IDS filed on January 14, 2011 including the fee set forth, and, therefore, requests that the Examiner consider the corrected IDS, and indicate his consideration by returning a signed or initialed copy of the corrected IDS form.

Third Paragraph Of The Continuation Sheet Of The Advisory Action Mailed 10 March 2011

On page 39 of the response to the final office action, the applicants' attorney stated that he "does not necessarily agree with the examiner's interpretations of 'object' and 'publish' in sections 65 and 66 of the [final] office action, and [sic] that these and the other claim limitations should be interpreted as a court of competent jurisdiction would interpret them."

In response to this statement, the examiner, in the third paragraph of the continuation sheet of the advisory action, stated that "he will most likely need to reintroduce the rejections under at least Dretzka and reconsider any claims previously

indicated as allowable.”

In response to the examiner’s statement in the advisory action, the applicants’ attorney clarifies that he is not disclaiming his statements regarding the limitations “publish” and “object,” but is indicating only that he does not necessarily agree with the examiner’s statements regarding “publish” and “object,” at least to the extent that the examiner’s statements may differ, or may be interpreted differently, from the applicants’ attorney’s statements.

Conclusion

The absence of additional patentability arguments should not be construed as either a disclaimer of such arguments or that such arguments are not believed to be meritorious. In light of at least the reasons discussed herein, claims 19-24, 51-54, and 62 are in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and a Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further questions about the application, the applicants' attorney respectfully requests the Examiner to contact him at (425) 455-5575 to resolve the matter.

In the event additional fees are due as a result of this amendment, you are hereby authorized to charge such payment to Deposit Account No. 07-1897.

DATED this 28th day of March 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

GRAYBEAL JACKSON LLP

/Bryan A. Santarelli/

Bryan A. Santarelli
Registration No. 37,560

Customer No. 00996

Graybeal Jackson LLP
400 - 108th Avenue NE, Suite 700
Bellevue, Washington 98004-5565
Telephone: 425.455.5575
Facsimile: 425.455.1046